1 Integration of functions

In the following we consider the closed interval $[a, b] \subset \mathbb{R}$ and f a real valued, bounded function defined on [a, b]. Our goal is to give a definition of the Riemann integral and derive the fundamental theorem of calculus. I follow the great problem book of Polyá and Szgö "Aufgaben und Lehrsätze aus der Analysis I". I am sure that this book has been translated into English.

1.1 Partitions, upper sums and lower sums

Apartition \mathcal{P} of the interval [a, b] is a collection of distinct points in

$$a = x_0 < x_1 < \cdots x_{n-1} < x_n = b$$
.

Given two partitions \mathcal{P} and \mathcal{Q} we define the **refinement of** \mathcal{P} **and** \mathcal{Q} to be

 $\mathcal{P}\cup\mathcal{Q}$.

The upper sum

$$U_f(\mathcal{P}) = \sum_{j=1}^n \sup_{x_{j-1} \le x \le x_j} f(x)(x_j - x_{j-1})$$

and the lower sum

$$L_f(\mathcal{P}) = \sum_{j=1}^n \inf_{x_{j-1} \le x \le x_j} f(x)(x_j - x_{j-1}) .$$

Recall that

$$\sup_{x_{j-1} \le x \le x_j} f(x) = l.u.b.\{f(x) : x_{j-1} \le x \le x_j\}$$

and likewise

$$\inf_{x_{j-1} \le x \le x_j} f(x) = g.l.b.\{f(x) : x_{j-1} \le x \le x_j\} .$$

We have, obviously that

$$U_f(\mathcal{P}) \ge L_f(\mathcal{P})$$

and both sums are finite since the function is bounded.

1.1 LEMMA. Let $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathcal{Q}$, i.e., \mathcal{Q} is a refinement of \mathcal{P} . Then

 $U_f(\mathcal{Q}) \le U_f(\mathcal{P})$

and

$$L_f(\mathcal{Q}) \geq L_f(\mathcal{P})$$
.

Proof. Take two successive points $x_j > x_{j-1}$ for which there exists one or more points $x_{j-1} \neq y_1, \ldots, y_k \neq x_j$ with

$$x_{j-1} < y_1 < y_2 \cdots < y_k < x_j$$

Such a situation must exist since Q is a refinement of \mathcal{P} . Otherwise $\mathcal{P} = Q$ and there is nothing to prove.

Now,

$$\sup_{x_{j-1} \le x \le x_j} f(x) \ge \max\{ \sup_{x_{j-1} \le x \le y_1} f(x), \sup_{y_1 \le x \le y_2} f(x), \dots, \sup_{y_k \le x \le x_j} f(x) \}$$

and hence

$$\sup_{\substack{x_{j-1} \le x \le x_j \\ x_{j-1} \le x \le y_1}} f(x)(x_j - x_{j-1}) \\ = \sup_{\substack{x_{j-1} \le x \le y_1 \\ x_{j-1} \le x \le y_1}} f(x)(y_1 - x_{j-1}) + \sup_{\substack{y_1 \le x \le y_2 \\ y_1 \le x \le y_2}} f(x)(y_2 - y_1) + \dots + \sup_{\substack{y_k \le x \le x_j \\ y_k \le x_j \\ y_k \le x \le x_j \\ y_k \\ y_k \le x \le x_j \\ y_k \le x \le x \le x_j \\ y_k \le x \le x \le$$

This inequality proves that the first inequality of the lemma. The other follows in a similar fashion. $\hfill \Box$

1.2 COROLLARY. Let \mathcal{P} and \mathcal{Q} be any two partitions. Then

$$U_f(\mathcal{P}) \geq L_f(\mathcal{Q})$$
.

In particular

 $U_f = \inf\{U_f(\mathcal{P}) : \mathcal{P} \text{ is a partition}\}$

and

$$L_f = \sup\{L_f(\mathcal{P}) : \mathcal{P} \text{ is a partition}\},\$$

and

 $U_f \ge L_f$.

We call the numbers U_f, L_f the upper respectively, lower limit.

Proof. Take the union $\mathcal{P} \cup \mathcal{Q}$ which is a refinement of both, \mathcal{P} and \mathcal{Q} . By Lemma 1.1 we have that

$$U_f(\mathcal{P}) \ge U_f(\mathcal{P} \cup \mathcal{Q}) \ge L_f(\mathcal{P} \cup \mathcal{Q}) \ge L_f(\mathcal{Q})$$

The set $\{L_f(\mathcal{P}) : \mathcal{P} \text{ is a partition}\}$ is bounded above and the set $\{U_f(\mathcal{P}) : \mathcal{P} \text{ is a partition}\}$ is bounded below and therefore U_f and L_f are defined and $U_f \geq L_f$. \Box **1.3 DEFINITION.** A function $f : [a, b] \to \mathbb{R}$ is **integrable** in the sense of Riemann, if it is bounded and if the upper limit equals the lower limit, i.e.,

$$U_f = L_f \; ,$$

and we denote this number by

$$\int_a^b f(x) dx \; .$$

1.4 Remark. Thus, in order to decide whether a function is integrable we have to find a sequence of partitions \mathcal{P}_n such that $U_f(\mathcal{P}_n) - L_f(\mathcal{P}_n)$ converges towards zero. This is, because

$$U_f(\mathcal{P}_n) - L_f(\mathcal{P}_n) \ge U_f - L_f \ge 0$$
.

There is of course great flexibility in finding such partitions.

1.2 Continuous functions and monotone functions are integrable

1.5 THEOREM. Any bounded monotone function on the interval [a, b] is integrable.

Proof. We may assume that the function is monotone increasing. The proof for monotone decreasing functions follows by considering -f. All we have to do is to exhibit a sequence of partitions \mathcal{P}_n so that $U_f(\mathcal{P}_n) - L_f(\mathcal{P}_n) \ge 0$ converges to zero. Pick

$$\mathcal{P}_n = \{a + \frac{k}{n}(b-a) : k = 1, \dots n\}$$
.

Observe that

$$U_f(\mathcal{P}_n) - L_f(\mathcal{P}_n) = \sum_{j=1}^n \left[\sup_{x_{j-1} \le x \le x_j} f(x) - \inf_{x_{j-1} \le x \le x_j} f(x) \right] \frac{b-a}{n}$$

which equals

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} \left[f(x_j) - f(x_{j-1}) \right] \frac{b-a}{n} = \frac{(f(b) - f(a))(b-a)}{n}$$

which tends to zero as $n \to \infty$.

For the next theorem the notion of width of a partition \mathcal{P} which is defined as

$$\max\{x_j - x_{j-1} : 1 \le j < n\}$$

is useful.

Proof. Every continuous functions on a closed interval is uniformly continuous. Pick $\varepsilon > 0$. There exists $\delta >$ such that for all $x, y \in [a, b]$ with $|x - y| < \delta$ we have that

$$|f(x) - f(y)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{b-a}$$

Pick any partition \mathcal{P} of width less than δ , e.g., the one before with

$$\frac{b-a}{n} < \delta \ .$$

Then

$$0 \le U_f(\mathcal{P}) - L_f(\mathcal{P}) = \sum_{j=1}^n \left[\sup_{x_{j-1} \le x \le x_j} f(x) - \inf_{x_{j-1} \le x \le x_j} f(x) \right] (x_j - x_{j-1}) \, .$$

Further, since f is uniformly continuous on [a, b] it is bounded and

$$\sup_{x_{j-1} \le x \le x_j} f(x) = f(x')$$

for some $x_{j-1} \leq x' \leq x_j$. Likewise

$$\inf_{x_{j-1} \le x \le x_j} f(x) = f(y')$$

for some $x_{j-1} \leq y' \leq x_j$. Since the width of the partition is less than δ we also have that $|x' - y'| < \delta$ and hence

$$0 \le \left[\sup_{x_{j-1} \le x \le x_j} f(x) - \inf_{x_{j-1} \le x \le x_j}\right] f(x) = f(x') - f(y') < \frac{\varepsilon}{b-a} \ .$$

Thus

$$0 \le U_f(\mathcal{P}) - L_f(\mathcal{P}) < \sum_{j=1}^n (x_j - x_{j-1}) \frac{\varepsilon}{b-a} = \varepsilon$$

Since ε is arbitrary, we have that $U_f = L_f$.

1.3 Some examples

Example 1: Consider the function f(x) on [0, 1] defined by

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \text{ is rational} \\ 0 & \text{if } x \text{ is irrational} \end{cases}$$

Pick any partition \mathcal{P} . Then

$$U_f(\mathcal{P}) = \sum_{j=1}^n \sup_{x_{j-1} \le x \le x_j} f(x)(x_j - x_{j-1}) = \sum_{j=1}^n (x_j - x_{j-1}) = 1$$

since every interval $[x_{j-1}, x_j]$ contains rational numbers. Likewise

$$L_f(\mathcal{P}) = 0$$

since every interval $[x_{j-1}, x_j]$ contains irrational numbers. Thus the upper limit $U_f = 1$ and the lower limit $L_f = 0$. This function is not integrable.

Example 2: Consider the functions $\frac{1}{x^2}$ on the interval [a, b] with a > 0. Let \mathcal{P} is any partition note that on the interval $[x_{j-1}, x_j]$ we have $\sup \frac{1}{x^2} = \frac{1}{x_{j-1}^2}$ and $\inf \frac{1}{x^2} = \frac{1}{x_j^2}$. Now

$$\frac{1}{x_{j-1}} - \frac{1}{x_j} = \frac{x_j - x_{j-1}}{x_j x_{j-1}}$$

and

$$\frac{1}{x_j^2}(x_j - x_{j-1}) \le \frac{x_j - x_{j-1}}{x_j x_{j-1}} \le \frac{1}{x_{j-1}^2}(x_j - x_{j-1})$$

we find that

$$L_f(\mathcal{P}) \leq \sum_{j=1}^n \left(\frac{1}{x_{j-1}} - \frac{1}{x_j}\right) \leq U_f(\mathcal{P}) \;.$$

But

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} \left(\frac{1}{x_{j-1}} - \frac{1}{x_j} \right) = \frac{1}{a} - \frac{1}{b}$$

independent of the partition. Since $\frac{1}{x^2}$ is integrable on [a, b] we find that

$$\int_{a}^{b} \frac{1}{x^2} dx = \frac{1}{a} - \frac{1}{b} \; .$$

Example 3: The function x^n , $n \in \mathbb{N}$, being continuous, is integrable on the interval [a, b]. We assume that a > 0. Once more choosing a partition we concentrate on the interval $[x_{j-1}, x_j]$ and note that

$$(x_j^{n+1} - x_{j-1}^{n+1}) = (x_j - x_{j-1}) \sum_{k=0}^n x_j^k x_{j-1}^{n-k}$$
.

Since $x_j > x_{j-1}$ we have that

$$(n+1)x_{j-1}^{n+1} < \sum_{k=0}^{n} x_j^k x_{j-1}^{n-k} < (n+1)x_j^{n+1}$$
.

Hence, as before

$$L_f(\mathcal{P}) \le \frac{\sum_{j=1}^n (x_j^{n+1} - x_{j-1}^{n+1})}{n+1} \le U_f(\mathcal{P})$$

and once more we have a telescoping sum and obtain that for all partitions \mathcal{P}

$$L_f(\mathcal{P}) \le \frac{b^{n+1} - a^{n+1}}{n+1} \le U_f(\mathcal{P})$$
.

Hence

$$\int_{a}^{b} x^{n} dx = \frac{b^{n+1} - a^{n+1}}{n+1} \; .$$

An interesting example is given by the function $f(x) = \frac{1}{x}$ on [a, b], where a > 0. Once more, this function is integrable and we try to compute the integral. Choose the sequence of partitions

$$\mathcal{P}_n = \left\{ a \left(\frac{b}{a} \right)^{\frac{k}{n}} : k = 0, 1, \dots, n \right\}$$

Now, compute

$$U_f(\mathcal{P}_n) = \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{a\left(\frac{b}{a}\right)^{\frac{j-1}{n}}} \left(a\left(\frac{b}{a}\right)^{\frac{j}{n}} - a\left(\frac{b}{a}\right)^{\frac{j-1}{n}}\right) = n\left(\left(\frac{b}{a}\right)^{\frac{1}{n}} - 1\right)$$

and

$$L(\mathcal{P}_n) = \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{a\left(\frac{b}{a}\right)^{\frac{j}{n}}} \left(a\left(\frac{b}{a}\right)^{\frac{j}{n}} - a\left(\frac{b}{a}\right)^{\frac{j-1}{n}}\right) = n\left(1 - \left(\frac{a}{b}\right)^{\frac{1}{n}}\right)$$

Recall that

$$U_f(\mathcal{P}_n) \ge U_f \ge L_f \ge L_f(\mathcal{P}_n)$$
.

Although we did not talk yet about the logarithm, it is easy to see that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} n\left(\left(\frac{b}{a}\right)^{\frac{1}{n}} - 1\right) = \lim_{n \to \infty} = n\left(1 - \left(\frac{a}{b}\right)^{\frac{1}{n}}\right) = \log\left(\frac{b}{a}\right)$$

Hence

$$\int_a^b \frac{1}{x} dx = \log(\frac{b}{a}) \; .$$

1.4 Linearity of the integral and Inequalities for integrals

1.7 THEOREM. Let f and g be two integrable functions on the interval [a, b]. The f + g is also integrable and

$$\int_a^b (f(x) + g(x))dx = \int_a^b f(x)dx + \int_a^b g(x)dx \; .$$

Likewise, if $c \in \mathbb{R}$ is any constant the cf(x) is integrable and

$$\int_{a}^{b} cf(x)dx = c \int_{a}^{b} f(x)dx$$

Proof. Pick any ε and choose partitions \mathcal{P} and \mathcal{Q} such that

$$\int_{a}^{b} f(x)dx - \varepsilon/2 < L_{f}(\mathcal{P}) \le U_{f}(\mathcal{P}) < \int_{a}^{b} f(x)dx + \varepsilon/2$$

and

$$\int_{a}^{b} g(x)dx - \varepsilon/2 < L_{g}(\mathcal{Q}) \le U_{g}(\mathcal{Q}) < \int_{a}^{b} g(x)dx + \varepsilon/2$$

Taking the refinement of the two partitions $\mathcal{R}=\mathcal{P}\cup\mathcal{Q}$ we know that

$$L_{f+g}(\mathcal{R}) \geq L_f(\mathcal{R}) + L_g(\mathcal{R})$$
,

which follows from the fact that

$$\inf_{S} (f(x) + g(x)) \ge \inf_{S} f(x) + \inf_{S} g(x) .$$

Since

$$L_f(\mathcal{R}) + L_g(\mathcal{R}) \ge L_f(\mathcal{P}) + L_g(\mathcal{Q})$$

we have that

$$L_{f+g}(\mathcal{R}) > \int_{a}^{b} f(x)dx + \int_{a}^{b} g(x)dx - \varepsilon$$
.

Similarly,

$$U_{f+g}(\mathcal{R}) \le U_f(\mathcal{R}) + U_g(\mathcal{R})$$

since

$$\sup_{S} (f(x) + g(x)) \le \sup_{S} f(x) + \sup_{S} g(x) .$$

Hence we have that

$$U_{f+g}(\mathcal{R}) \leq U_f(\mathcal{P}) + U_g(\mathcal{Q}) < \int_a^b f(x)dx + \int_a^b g(x)dx + \varepsilon$$
.

Thus

$$\int_{a}^{b} f(x)dx + \int_{a}^{b} g(x)dx - \varepsilon < L_{f+g}(\mathcal{R}) \le U_{f+g}(\mathcal{R}) < \int_{a}^{b} f(x)dx + \int_{a}^{b} g(x)dx + \varepsilon ,$$

which proves the additivity of the integral. The proof of the other statement is easy and is left as an excercise. $\hfill \Box$

Here is a little lemma concerning real functions defined on a set $S \subset \mathbb{R}$.

$$\sup_{S} f(x) - \inf_{S} f(x) \ge \sup_{S} |f(x)| - \inf_{S} |f(x)| \ .$$

Proof. We distinguish three cases.

a) $f(x) \ge 0$ for all $x \in S$. In this case, we have that f(x) = |f(x)| and the inequality is an equality.

b) $f(x) \leq 0$ for all $x \in S$. In this case

$$\sup_{S} f(x) = -\inf_{S} (-f(x)) = -\inf_{S} |f(x)| .$$

Likewise

$$\inf_{S} f(x) = -\sup_{S} (-f(x)) = -\sup_{S} |f(x)|$$

and we have that

$$\sup_{S} f(x) - \inf_{S} f(x) = -\inf_{S} |f(x)| + \sup_{S} |f(x)|$$

and once more there is equality.

The interesting case is

c) f(x) changes sign on S. Clearly

$$\sup f(x) = \sup \{ f(x) : x \in S, f(x) > 0 \}$$

and

$$\inf f(x) = \inf \{ f(x) : x \in S, f(x) < 0 \} ,$$

or

$$\inf f(x) = -\sup\{-f(x) : x \in S, -f(x) > 0\}$$

But,

$$\sup\{f(x): x \in S, f(x) > 0\} + \sup\{-f(x): x \in S, -f(x) > 0\} = \sup_{S} |f(x)|$$

since the sets where f(x) > 0 and the set where f(x) < 0 are disjoint. Hence

$$\sup_{S} f(x) - \inf_{S} f(x) = \sup_{S} |f(x)| \ge \sup_{S} |f(x)| - \inf_{S} |f(x)| .$$

and we are done.

1.9 THEOREM. Let f be an integrable function on [a, b]. Then its absolute value |f| as well as its positive part defined by $f_+(x) = \max\{f(x), 0\}$ and its negative part defined by $f_-(x) = \max\{-f(x), 0\}$ are integrable.

Proof. Consider the upper sum $U_f(\mathcal{P})$ and the lower sum $L_f(\mathcal{P})$ for the function f(x), where \mathcal{P} is a partition. Since

$$U_f(\mathcal{P}) - L_f(\mathcal{P}) = \sum_{j=1}^n \left[\sup_{x_{j-1} \le x < x_j} f(x) - \inf_{x_{j-1} \le x < x_j} f(x) \right] (x_j - x_{j-1}) .$$

Bu the above lemma we have

$$\sup_{x_{j-1} \le x < x_j} f(x) - \inf_{x_{j-1} \le x < x_j} f(x) \ge \sup_{x_{j-1} \ge x < x_j} |f(x)| - \inf_{x_{j-1} \le x < x_j} |f(x)|$$

and hence

$$U_f(\mathcal{P}) - L_f(\mathcal{P}) \ge U_{|f|}(\mathcal{P}) - L_{|f|}(\mathcal{P})$$

and |f| is integrable if f is integrable. Indeed, f integrable means that for any ε there exists a partition such that

$$\varepsilon > U_f(\mathcal{P}) - L_f(\mathcal{P})$$

and hence by the above

$$\varepsilon > U_{|f|}(\mathcal{P}) - L_{|f|}(\mathcal{P}) \ge 0$$

Since

$$f_+(x) = \frac{f(x) + |f(x)|}{2}$$
, $f_-(x) = \frac{-f(x) + |f(x)|}{2}$

the integrability follows from the one of |f| and the linearity of the integral.

The following is immediate.

1.10 LEMMA. Let f be an integrable function on [a, b]. Hence there exists a constant M > 0 such that $|f(x)| \le M$ for all $\in [a, b]$. Then

$$\left|\int_{a}^{b} f(x)dx\right| \le M(b-a)$$

1.5 Fundamental Theorem of Calculus

1.11 THEOREM. Let f be a function that is integrable on [a, b] and on [b, c]. The f is integrable on [a, c] and

$$\int_a^c f(x)dx = \int_a^b f(x)dx + \int_b^c f(x)dx \; .$$

Proof. Pick any $\varepsilon > 0$ and let \mathcal{P} be a partition of [a, b] such that

$$\int_{a}^{b} f(x)dx - \varepsilon/2 < L_{f}(\mathcal{P}) \le U_{f}(\mathcal{P}) < \int_{a}^{b} f(x)dx + \varepsilon/2$$

and \mathcal{Q} be a partition of [b, c] such that

$$\int_{b}^{c} f(x)dx - \varepsilon/2 < L_{f}(\mathcal{Q}) \le U_{f}(\mathcal{Q}) < \int_{b}^{c} f(x)dx + \varepsilon/2$$

The union $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{P} \cup \mathcal{Q}$, although not a refinement is a partition of the interval [a, c]. Further,

$$L_f(\mathcal{R}) = L_f(\mathcal{P}) + L_f(\mathcal{Q})$$

and

$$U_f(\mathcal{R}) = U_f(\mathcal{P}) + U_f(\mathcal{Q})$$
.

Hence,

$$\int_{a}^{b} f(x)dx + \int_{b}^{c} f(x)dx - \varepsilon < L_{f}(\mathcal{R}) \le U_{f}(\mathcal{R}) < \int_{a}^{b} f(x)dx + \int_{b}^{c} f(x)dx + \varepsilon .$$

We adopt the convention that

$$\int_{a}^{b} f(x)dx = -\int_{b}^{a} f(x)dx$$

and

$$\int_a^a f(x)dx = 0 \ .$$

1.12 THEOREM. Let $U \subset \mathbb{R}$ be an open interval and let $a \in U$ be any point. Let f be a continuous real valued function and define for any $x \in U$

$$F(x) = \int_a^x f(t) dt \; .$$

The F is differentiable in U and

$$F'(x) = f(x)$$

all $x \in U$.

Proof. Fix and $x_0 \in U$. We have that

$$F(x) - F(x_0) = \int_{x_0}^x f(t)dt$$
.

Hence

$$\frac{F(x) - F(x_0)}{x - x_0} - f(x_0) \bigg| = \left| \frac{\int_{x_0}^x [f(t) - f(x_0)] dt}{x - x_0} \right| .$$

For any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $\delta > 0$ such that

$$|f(x) - f(x_0)| < \varepsilon$$

for all x with $|x - x_0| < \delta$. Thus, by the Lemma above

$$\left|\int_{x_0}^x [f(t) - f(x_0)]dt\right| < \varepsilon |x - x_0|$$

for all x with $|x - x_0| < \delta$ and hence

$$\left|\frac{F(x) - F(x_0)}{x - x_0} - f(x_0)\right| < \varepsilon$$

for all x with $|x - x_0| < \delta$. Hence F(x) is differentiable at x_0 and its derivative is $f(x_0)$. \Box