

# Homework Set for Week 12

Y. Diaz, A. Rogers, E. Volgas

Math 4317: Analysis I

Prof. Michael Loss

November 14, 2012

## Chapter 5

5. Assuming the elementary properties of the trigonometric functions, show that  $\tan x - x$  is strictly increasing on  $(0, \frac{\pi}{2})$  while the function  $\frac{\sin x}{x}$  is strictly decreasing.

### Solution

From problem 4 (page 109 of IA) we get:

*Lemma:* if  $f$  is a differentiable real-valued function on an open interval in  $\mathbb{R}$  then  $f$  is increasing (decreasing) if  $f'$  is nonnegative (nonpositive) at each point in the interval.

*Proof of the Lemma:* Let  $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be differentiable on  $(a, b)$ . Let  $x, y \in (a, b)$  and let  $y > x$ . By the Mean Value Theorem (page 105 of IA) there exists  $c \in (x, y)$  such that

$$f(y) - f(x) = f'(c)(y - x)$$

If  $f'(c) \geq 0$  since  $y > x$  we get  $f(y) - f(x) = f'(c)(y - x) \geq 0$ , which implies  $f(y) \geq f(x)$ , i.e.  $f$  is increasing. Similarly, if  $f'(c) \leq 0$  since  $y > x$  we get  $f(y) - f(x) = f'(c)(y - x) \leq 0$ , which implies  $f(y) \leq f(x)$ , i.e.  $f$  is decreasing. Since this holds for all  $x, y$  then it is true for the entire interval  $(a, b)$ . Furthermore, if we switch the inequalities from  $\geq$  ( $\leq$ ) to  $>$  ( $<$ ) it follows that  $f$  is strictly increasing (decreasing).

□

To show:  $f : (0, \frac{\pi}{2}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , where  $f(x) = \tan x - x$  is strictly increasing by showing  $f'(x) > 0$  for  $x \in (0, \frac{\pi}{2})$  and  $g : (0, \frac{\pi}{2}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , where  $g(x) = \frac{\sin x}{x}$  is strictly decreasing by showing  $g'(x) < 0$  for  $x \in (0, \frac{\pi}{2})$ .

We know by the proposition in page 101 of IA and by the properties of the trigonometric properties that

$$f'(x) = \sec^2 x - 1 > 0 \quad \text{for all } x \in (0, \frac{\pi}{2})$$

and hence  $f(x) = \tan x - x$  is strictly increasing. Similarly,

$$g'(x) = \frac{x \cos x - \sin x}{x^2} \cdot \frac{\cos x}{\cos x} = -\frac{\cos x}{x^2} (\tan x - x).$$

Since we just showed that  $\tan x - x$  is strictly increasing in this interval and  $\tan(0) = 0$ , then  $\tan x - x > 0$ . We also know that  $x^2 > 0, \cos x > 0$  on the interval  $x \in (0, \frac{\pi}{2})$ . Hence  $g'(x) < 0$  on  $x \in (0, \frac{\pi}{2})$  and therefore  $g(x) = \frac{\sin x}{x}$  is strictly decreasing.

6. Prove that a differentiable function on  $\mathbb{R}$  with a bounded derivative is uniformly continuous.

### Proof

Let  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a differentiable function with bounded derivative, i.e. there exists  $M \in \mathbb{R}, M \geq 0$  so that

$$|f'(x)| \leq M \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

*To show:* For every  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists  $\delta$  so that whenever  $|x - y| < \delta$  we have that  $|f(x) - f(y)| < \epsilon$  for all  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ .

Select any  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$  and without loss of generality let  $y > x$  (otherwise just interchange the two). Then by the Mean Value Theorem on page 105 of IA there exists  $c \in (x, y)$  so that

$$\left| \frac{f(x) - f(y)}{x - y} \right| = |f'(c)| \quad \text{or} \quad |f(x) - f(y)| = |f'(c)| |x - y|.$$

But since  $|f'|$  is bounded we get

$$|f(x) - f(y)| = |f'(c)| |x - y| \leq M |x - y|$$

Now for any given  $\epsilon > 0$  let  $\delta = \frac{\epsilon}{M}$ , then whenever  $|x - y| < \delta$  we get that

$$|f(x) - f(y)| \leq M |x - y| < M \cdot \frac{\epsilon}{M} = \epsilon.$$

Since  $\delta$  here does not depend on  $x$  or  $y$ , uniform continuity follows. □

8. Let  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $a < b$ , and let  $f, g$  be continuous real-valued functions on  $[a, b]$  that are differentiable on  $(a, b)$ . Prove that there exists a number  $c \in (a, b)$  such that

$$f'(c) (g(b) - g(a)) = g'(c) (f(b) - f(a)).$$

(*Hint:* Consider the function

$$F(x) = (f(x) - f(a))(g(b) - g(a)) - (g(x) - g(a))(f(b) - f(a)).$$

Proof

We consider the function  $F(x)$  as stated above. Since both  $f$  and  $g$  are differentiable on  $(a, b)$ , then by the proposition on page 101 of IA the derivative of  $F(x)$  is given by

$$F'(x) = f'(x) (g(b) - g(a)) - g'(x) (f(b) - f(a)).$$

Since  $F(a) = F(b) = 0$ , continuous and real-valued on  $[a, b]$  and differentiable on  $(a, b)$  by Rolle's theorem (page 104 of IA) there exists a  $c \in \mathbb{R}$  so that  $F'(c) = 0$ . Hence we find

$$F'(c) = 0 = f'(c) (g(b) - g(a)) - g'(c) (f(b) - f(a))$$

or

$$f'(c) (g(b) - g(a)) = g'(c) (f(b) - f(a))$$

□

## Chapter VI

2. Prove that  $\int_0^1 f(x) dx = 0$  if  $f(1/n) = 1$  for  $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$  and  $f(x) = 0$  for all other  $x$ .

Proof

Let the partition sequence  $\mathcal{P}_n$  be defined as

$$x_k = \frac{k}{n}, \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n$$

where  $n \in \{x \in \mathbb{N} : x > 3 \text{ and } x \text{ is a prime number}\}$ . With this sequence of partitions the upper sum is given by

$$\begin{aligned} U_f(\mathcal{P}_n) &= \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \ell.u.b.\{f(x) : x_j \leq x \leq x_{j+1}\} (x_{j+1} - x_j) \\ &= (x_1 - x_0) + (x_2 - x_1) + \dots + (x_n - x_{n-1}) = \frac{3}{n} \end{aligned}$$

whereas the lower sum is given by

$$L_f(\mathcal{P}_n) = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} g.l.b.\{f(x) : x_j \leq x \leq x_{j+1}\} (x_{j+1} - x_j) = 0$$

since  $f(1/n) = 1$  and  $f(x) = 0$  for all other  $x$ . It is then made clear that

$$U_f(\mathcal{P}_n) - L_f(\mathcal{P}_n) = \frac{3}{n} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

and thus the integral exists. Furthermore since we can always select  $n > N$  for any  $\epsilon > 0$  so that  $\epsilon > \frac{3}{n}$ , and consequently  $\epsilon > U_f(\mathcal{P}_n) \geq I_U(f) \geq I_L(f) \geq L_f(\mathcal{P}_n) \geq 0$ . It follows that  $\int_0^1 f(x) dx = 0$

□

3. Does  $\int_0^1 f(x) dx$  exist if  $f$  is defined as follows?

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x \text{ is not rational} \\ \frac{1}{q} & \text{if } x = \frac{p}{q}, \text{ where } p \text{ and } q \text{ are integers} \\ & \text{with no common divisors} \\ & \text{other than } \pm 1, \text{ and } q > 0. \end{cases}$$

Solution

Yes. Let  $\mathcal{P}$  be a partition of  $[0, 1]$ . Then every interval  $[x_j, x_{j+1}]$  contains both rational and irrational numbers. As such, the lower sum will be

$$L_f(\mathcal{P}) = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \inf_{x \in [x_j, x_{j+1}]} f(x) (x_{j+1} - x_j) = 0$$

since each partition contains an irrational number.

The upper sum is a little trickier to find. We use the fact that for any given  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  there are only a finite number of  $x$  such that  $f(x) \geq 1/n$ . This is true since  $f(x) = 0$  if  $x$  is not rational and if  $x$  is rational then  $f(p/q) = 1/q \geq 1/n$  which implies that  $0 < q \leq n$ . Since  $p/q \leq 1$  and  $p$  and  $q$  have no common divisors, there are at most  $n$  choices for  $p$ . Thus we may conclude that most points are close to zero and we will use this fact to upper bound the upper sum.

We know that there are at most  $m$  values for  $x \in [0, 1]$  so that  $f(x) \geq 1/n$ . Let  $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m\}$  be a finite set of  $x$  values so that  $f(x) \geq 1/n$  and let  $M = \max\{f(x) : x \in \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m\}\}$ . Now let  $\epsilon/2 > 0$  and select  $n$  such that  $1/n \leq \epsilon/2$ . Finally, let

$$g(x) = \begin{cases} M & \text{if } x \in \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m\} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

then  $f(x) \leq \epsilon/2 + g(x)$ . For any partition  $\mathcal{P}$  of  $[0, 1]$  the upper sum is bounded by:

$$0 \leq U_f(\mathcal{P}) \leq U_{\epsilon+g}(\mathcal{P}) \leq U_\epsilon(\mathcal{P}) + U_g(\mathcal{P}) = \frac{\epsilon}{2} + U_g(\mathcal{P})$$

But  $g(x)$  is continuous at 0 with a finite number of discontinuities, hence it is integrable with integral 0 (the proof to this will be shown in the following problem). As a consequence we can find a partition  $\mathcal{Q}$  so that  $U_g(\mathcal{Q}) < \epsilon/2$ . It follows that

$$0 \leq L_f(\mathcal{Q}) \leq I_L(f) \leq I_U(f) \leq U_f(\mathcal{Q}) \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2} + U_g(\mathcal{Q}) < \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{2} = \epsilon$$

and since  $\epsilon$  can be made arbitrarily small the integral of  $f$  exists and  $\int_0^1 f(x) dx = 0$ . □

7. Prove that if the real-valued function  $f$  on the interval  $[a, b]$  is bounded and is continuous except at a finite number of points, then  $\int_0^1 f(x) dx$  exists.

Proof

We first reduce the problem to the case of having exactly one discontinuity by breaking up the interval  $[a, b]$  to subintervals where each subinterval contains exactly one discontinuous point. If  $f$  is integrable on each subinterval, then it is integrable on  $[a, b]$  by the proposition on page 123 of IA.

We let  $x^*$  be the point of discontinuity of  $f$  on the subinterval  $[a^*, b^*]$  and suppose  $x^* \neq a^*$  and  $x^* \neq b^*$ . Then we select a smaller subinterval such that  $x^* \in (a_1, b_1) \subset [a^*, b^*]$  which satisfies

$$\left( \sup_{x \in [a^*, b^*]} f(x) - \inf_{x \in [a^*, b^*]} f(x) \right) (b_1 - a_1) < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$$

Then  $[a^*, b^*] - (a_1, b_1)$  consists of two disjoint intervals where  $f$  is continuous and thus integrable by the theorem on page 123 of IA. We can find a combined partition  $\mathcal{P}$

such that in total these two disjoint intervals result in  $U_f(\mathcal{P}) - L_f(\mathcal{P}) < \epsilon/2$ . But the points of  $\mathcal{P}$  form a partition of all  $[a^*, b^*]$ , and since we selected the subinterval  $(a_1, b_1)$  to satisfy the upper and lower sum subtraction be less than  $\epsilon/2$  we get

$$U_f(\mathcal{P}) - L_f(\mathcal{P}) < \epsilon.$$

Since a similar argument can be obtained if  $x^* = a^*$  or  $x^* = b^*$  we may conclude the integral exists.

□

7  $f: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  continuous and bounded everywhere but at a finitely many number of points. Show that  $\int_a^b f(x) dx$  exists

define  $x_i \ i=1, 2, \dots, n$  discontinuities of  $f(x)$

define  $f_i(x) = \begin{cases} f(x) & \text{if } i=0: a \leq x < x_1; x_i < x < x_{i+1}, i \neq n \\ \lim_{x \rightarrow x_i^-} f(x) & \text{if } x = x_{i+1} \\ \lim_{x \rightarrow x_i^+} f(x) & \text{if } x = x_i \\ 0 & x \notin [x_i, x_{i+1}] \end{cases}$

Then  $f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^n f_i(x) = g(x)$   $g: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$   
 $g(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x \neq x_i \\ f(x) - f_{i-1}(x) - f_i(x) & \text{if } x = x_i \end{cases}$

then:  $\int_a^b f(x) - \sum_{i=0}^n \int_a^b f_i(x) = \int_a^b g(x) = 0$

$\int_a^b f(x) = \int_a^b \sum_{i=0}^n f_i(x) \Rightarrow$  exists because these are bounded, continuous functions on compact space (§3, p.123 IA)

To show:  $\int_a^b g(x) = 0$

Any Riemann sum  $S$  corresponding to a partition of  $[a, b]$  with width less than  $\delta$ , we have  $|S| < 2|f(x) - f_{i-1}(x) - f_i(x)|\delta$  so we choose  $\delta$  sufficiently small so that  $\int_a^b g(x) = 0$