## The thermodynamic limit for matter

In this section we discuss the result of Lieb and Lebowitz concerning the existence of the free energy for matter consisting of nuclei and electrons. As explained before there will be three steps involved. First, a universal lower bound on the free energy per unit volume that is independent of the volume, second a sequence of volumes going to infinity for which the free energy decreases and finally a proof that the limit is independent for reasonable shapes. We do not discuss the last point.

We consider the Coulomb system given by the Hamiltonian

$$
H=\sum_{j 1}^{N} p_{j}^{2}+\frac{1}{M} \sum_{k=1}^{K} P_{k}^{2}+V_{c}
$$

where we have included the kinetic energy of the nuclei. The Hilbert space is then given by

$$
\mathcal{H}=\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{el}} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{nucl}}
$$

where

$$
\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{el}}=\wedge^{N} L^{2}\left(\Omega ; C^{2}\right)
$$

the $N$-fold antisymmetric tensor product. For the Hilbert space of the nuclei we do not make any assumptions since the nuclei may be bosons, fermions or a mixture thereof.

We assume that the particles are all in some volume $\Omega$ and we set Dirichlet boundary conditions for the Laplace operators involved. The partition function is then given by

$$
Z=\operatorname{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}} e^{-\beta H}
$$

and the free energy per unit volume is given by

$$
f(\beta, V, N, K)=-\frac{1}{|\Omega| \beta} \log Z
$$

and we are interested in the the limit as

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Omega \rightarrow R^{3} \\
N, K \rightarrow \infty
\end{gathered}
$$

in such a way that

$$
\frac{N}{|\Omega|} \rightarrow \rho_{\mathrm{el}}
$$

and

$$
\frac{K}{|\Omega|} \rightarrow \rho_{\text {nucl }} .
$$

Step 1: The lower bound: We write

$$
H=\frac{1}{2}\left[\sum_{j 1}^{N} p_{j}^{2}+\frac{1}{M} \sum_{k=1}^{K} P_{k}^{2}\right]+\frac{1}{2}\left[\sum_{j 1}^{N} p_{j}^{2}+\frac{1}{M} \sum_{k=1}^{K} P_{k}^{2}\right]+V_{c}
$$

and note that by the result of Dyson-Lenard, resp. Lieb-Thirring there exists a constant $C(Z)$ that is independent of $N, K$ and, of course not on $\Omega$ so that

$$
H \geq \frac{1}{2}\left[\sum_{j 1}^{N} p_{j}^{2}+\frac{1}{M} \sum_{k=1}^{K} P_{k}^{2}\right]-C(Z)(N+K) .
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{gathered}
Z \leq \operatorname{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}} e^{-\frac{\beta}{2}\left[\sum_{j=1}^{N} p_{j}^{2}+\frac{1}{M} \sum_{k=1}^{K} P_{k}^{2}\right]} e^{\beta C(Z)(N+K)} \\
=\operatorname{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{el}}} e^{-\frac{\beta}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{N} p_{j}^{2}} \operatorname{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{nucl}}} e^{-\frac{\beta}{2 M} \sum_{k=1}^{K} P_{k}^{2}} e^{\beta C(Z)(N+K)} .
\end{gathered}
$$

From this we see that the free energy per unit volume is bounded below by the sum of the free energies of a noninteracting gas of electrons and nuclei minus

$$
C(z)\left(\rho_{\mathrm{el}}+\rho_{\mathrm{nucl}}\right) .
$$

This is well known to be bounded below by a function that depends only on the temperature and the densities $\rho_{\mathrm{el}}$ and $\rho_{\text {nucl }}$.

We come now to the second step which amounts to show that along a suitable sequence of volumes the free energy per unit volume is a decreasing sequence. The obvious obstacle here is that the Coulomb potential is of long range and there is no obvious way how to bound this. Clearly, if the system is macroscopically not neutral there is no thermodynamic limit. Hence we shall assume neutrality from now on, i.e., the sum of the nuclear charges is canceled by the sum of the electronic charges.

First we recall Newton's heorem. Imagine two charge distributions, one of them, $\rho(x)$ spherically symmetric and the other one $\mu$ not necessarily spherically symmetric. (Spherically symmetric means that $\rho(x)=\rho(y)$ whenever $|x|=|y|$.

Newton's theorem the interaction energy between the charges $\mu$ and $\rho$, which is given by

$$
\iint \frac{\rho(x) \mu(y)}{|x-y|} d x d y=\iint \min \left(\frac{1}{|x|}, \frac{1}{|y|}\right) \rho(x) \mu(y) d x d y
$$

In particular if $\mu$ is supported inside a ball of radius $R, \rho$ supported outside the ball and if

$$
\int \mu(y) d y=0
$$

then the interaction energy vanishes.

PROOF: The proof consists of evaluating the integral

$$
\int_{S^{2}} \frac{1}{\left(|x|^{2}+|y|^{2}-2|x| y \cdot w\right.} d w=\min \left(\frac{1}{|x|}, \frac{1}{|y|}\right) .
$$

We will encounter the following situation. Given two disjoint balls $B_{1}$ and $B_{2}$. In $B_{1}$ we have $N_{1}$ electrons and $M_{1}$ nuclei, so that the system is neutral, i.e,

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{M_{1}} Z_{j}=N_{1}
$$

In the other ball we have $N_{2}$ electrons and $M_{2}$ nuclei. For the moment it is not necessary to assume neutrality in that ball. The Hamiltonian for the first system we call $H_{1}$ which includes a Dirichlet condition that confines the particles to the ball $B_{1}$ and $H_{2}$ which includes a Dirichlet condition confining all the particles to the ball $B_{2}$. Further we call $H$ the Hamiltonian that includes all the interactions between the particles, i.e., we have added the Coulomb interactions between the particles in ball $B_{1}$ and ball $B_{2}$. Hence

$$
H=H_{1}+H_{2}+W
$$

where $W$ is the Coulomb interaction between the particles in ball $B_{1}$ and $B_{2}$. The total Hilbert space is the tensor product of the Hilbert spaces of the particles in $B_{1}$ and $B_{2}$, i.e.,

$$
\mathcal{H}=\mathcal{H}_{1} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{2}
$$

Next, consider the partition function

$$
\operatorname{Tr} e^{-\beta H}=\int_{B_{1} N_{1}} d X_{1} \int_{B_{1} M_{1}} d R_{1} \int_{B_{2} N_{2}} d X_{2} \int_{B_{2} M_{2}} d R_{2} e^{-\beta\left[H_{1}+H_{2}+W\right]}\left(X_{1}, R_{1}, X_{2}, R_{2}\right) .
$$

Here we use the notation $X_{1}$ for the coordinates of the electrons in the $B_{1}, R_{1}$ all the coordinates of the nuclei in $B_{1}$ etc. The function

$$
e^{-\beta\left[H_{1}+H_{2}+W\right]}\left(X_{1}, R_{1}, X_{2}, R_{2}\right)
$$

is the heat kernel associated with the operator $H$ evaluated on the diagonal. Our goal is to prove the inequality

$$
\operatorname{Tr} e^{-\beta H} \geq \operatorname{Tr} e^{-\beta H_{1}} \operatorname{Tr} e^{-\beta H_{2}}
$$

where the traces are taken over the respective Hilbert spaces.
Using the Peierls-Bogolubov inequality we get that

$$
\operatorname{Tr} e^{-\beta H} \geq \operatorname{Tr} e^{-\beta H_{1}} \operatorname{Tr} e^{-\beta H_{2}} e^{-\beta\langle W\rangle}
$$

where

$$
\langle W\rangle=\frac{\operatorname{Tr} e^{-\beta\left(H_{1}+H_{2}\right)} W}{\operatorname{Tr} e^{-\beta\left(H_{1}+H_{2}\right)}}
$$

The numerator is given by

$$
\int d X_{1} \int d R_{1} \int d X_{2} \int d R_{2} e^{-\beta H_{1}}\left(X_{1}, R_{1}\right) e^{-\beta H_{2}}\left(X_{2}, R_{2}\right) W\left(X_{1}, R_{1}, X_{2}, R_{2}\right)
$$

and hence the expectation value is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{i, j} \int d x_{i} d y_{j} \frac{\rho_{1}^{\mathrm{el}}\left(x_{i}\right) \rho_{2}^{\mathrm{el}}\left(y_{j}\right)}{\left|x_{i}-y_{j}\right|}+Z^{2} \sum_{k, l} \int d R_{k} d S_{l} \frac{\rho_{1}^{\mathrm{nuc}}\left(R_{k}\right) \rho_{2}^{\mathrm{nuc}}\left(S_{l}\right)}{\left|R_{k}-S_{l}\right|} \\
- & Z \sum_{i, l} \int d x_{i} d S_{l} \frac{\rho_{1}^{\mathrm{el}}\left(x_{i}\right) \rho_{2}^{\mathrm{nuc}}\left(S_{l}\right)}{\left|x_{i}-S_{l}\right|}-Z \sum_{k, j} \int d R_{k} d y_{j} \frac{\rho_{1}^{\mathrm{nuc}}\left(R_{k}\right) \rho_{2}^{\mathrm{el}}\left(y_{j}\right)}{\left|R_{k}-y_{j}\right|}
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\rho_{1}\left(x_{i}\right)=\frac{\int \widehat{d x_{i}} e^{-\beta H_{1}}\left(X_{1}, R_{1}\right)}{\int e^{-\beta H_{1}}\left(X_{1}, R_{1}\right)}
$$

so that

$$
\int \rho_{1}\left(x_{i}\right) d x_{i}=1
$$

The same holds for the other densities. Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle W\rangle=\int d x d y \frac{Q_{1}(x) Q_{2}(y)}{|x-y|} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
Q_{1}(x)=N_{1} \rho_{1}^{\mathrm{el}}(x)-Z \sum_{j} \rho^{\mathrm{nuc}}(x)
$$

and similarly for $Q_{2}$. By the neutrality assumption in $B_{1}$ we have that

$$
\int d x Q_{1}(x)=0
$$

Further, since the Hamiltonian $H_{1}$ is unchanged under simultaneous rotation of all the variables we get that $Q_{1}(x)$ is a radial function. Hence by Newton's theorem (1) reduces to

$$
\int d x d y Q_{1}(x) Q_{2}(y) \min \left(\frac{1}{|x|}, \frac{1}{|y|}\right)
$$

We have placed the origin into the center of $B_{1}$. Since the two balls are disjoint and since the origin is in the center of $B_{1}$ we have that $|x|<|y|$ in the domain of integration. Hence (1) reduces to

$$
\int d x Q_{1}(x) \int d y Q_{2}(y) \frac{1}{|y|}=0
$$

since $Q_{1}$ is neutral.

## Standard sequence of balls

In the following we give a sequence of balls with particles in them in such a way that the there is charge neutrality in each ball. We fix $\rho_{\mathrm{el}}$ and hence, because the system is neutral $Z \rho^{\text {nucl }}=\rho^{\mathrm{el}}$.

Start with $R_{0}$ and put

$$
N_{0}=\frac{4 \pi}{3} R_{0}^{3} 28 \rho^{\mathrm{el}}
$$

electrons in this ball and of course $K_{0}=N_{0} / Z$ nuclei. Notice that the density is too big! For $j \geq 1$ define the radii

$$
R_{j}=(28)^{j} R_{0}
$$

and

$$
N_{j}=(28)^{3 j-1} N_{0}, K_{j}=N_{j} / Z
$$

so that

$$
\frac{N_{j}}{\frac{4 \pi}{3} R_{j}^{3}}=\rho^{\mathrm{el}}
$$

Define the numbers

$$
m_{j}=(27)^{j-1}(28)^{2 j}
$$

Then by the Cheese Theorem we can pack a ball of radius $R_{K}$ by $m_{K}$ balls of radius $R_{0}$, $m_{K-1}$ balls of radius $R_{1}$ etc $m_{1}$ balls of radius $R_{K-1}$ and all these balls are disjoint.

If we consider the partition function $Z_{K}$ for the Coulomb system in the ball $B_{K}$ we know from our previous considerations that

$$
Z_{K} \geq \prod_{j=0}^{K-1} Z_{j}^{m_{K-j}}
$$

and hence the free energy $f_{K}$ per unit volume satisfies the estimate

$$
f_{K}=\frac{-\beta^{-1} \log Z_{K}}{\frac{4 \pi}{3} R_{K}^{3}} \leq \sum_{j=0}^{K-1} m_{K-j} \frac{R_{j}^{3}}{R_{K}^{3}} f_{j}
$$

or

$$
f_{K} \leq \sum_{j=0}^{K-1}(27)^{K-j-1}(28)^{2(K-j)}(28)^{3(j-K)} f_{j}=\frac{1}{27} \sum_{j=0}^{K-1} \frac{\delta^{K-j}}{27} f_{j}
$$

From this and the stability bound we will derive the existence of the thermodynamic limit.

Define the numbers $e_{k} \geq 0$ by

$$
f_{K}=\sum_{j=0}^{K-1} \frac{\delta^{K-j}}{27} f_{j}-e_{K}
$$

This renewal equation can be iterated and one gets soon the clue that the solution is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{K}=\frac{f_{0}}{28}-\sum_{j=1}^{K} \frac{e_{j}}{28}-\delta e_{K} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

which can be checked. Note that $f_{K}$ satisfies the recursion

$$
f_{K}-f_{K-1}=\frac{1}{28} f_{K-1}+e_{K-1}-e_{K}
$$

Since $f_{K}$ is bounded below we get that

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{K} \frac{e_{j}}{28}
$$

is bounded above and hence must converge. In particular this shows that $e_{K} \rightarrow 0$ as $K \rightarrow \infty$ and hence

$$
f=\lim _{K \rightarrow \infty} f_{K}=\frac{f_{0}}{28}-\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} e_{j}
$$

